

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,618	01/21/2004	Sanford F. Campbell	PENT-01034US0	3523
<sup>28554</sup> VIERRA MAC	7590 06/27/2007 GEN MARCUS & DENIRO	EXAMINER		
	STREET SUITE 2500	LEE, KEVIN L		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	3753		
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

l	Į	ł	•
4	1	l	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/761,618	CAMPBELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		KEVIN L. LEE	3753			
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If NO period for rep  - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. Ity is specified above, the maximum statutory period vin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND	ION.  e timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Cla	ims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. <ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-5, 7 and 8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
Application Paper	s					
10)⊠ The drawi Applicant i Replacem	fication is objected to by the Examine ng(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> is/are may not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspo	ices Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application						

# DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 5, 2007. It is noted that applicant has canceled claims 10-20 in the amendment filed June 5, 2007.

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The declaration incorrectly recites a duty to disclose information "material to the examination" of this application. The declaration should recite a duty to disclose information "material to patentability" of this application.

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the lines, numbers and letters are not uniformly thick and well defined, clean and black (poor line quality) in Figures 6 and 7. Figures 7 and 9 are objected to because the solid black shading is not permitted.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version

Application/Control Number: 10/761,618

**Art Unit: 3753** 

of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chivens et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,522,221). The patent to Chivens et al discloses a valve comprising a timing apparatus (18) controlling fluid flow to outlets (14, 16), the valve including an adjustable flow controller (76) over the second outlet (16) to increase the

flow speed of fluid existing the outlet, col. 4, lines 48-56 and col. 6, line 58 thru col. 7, line 10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al in view of Dermody (U.S. Patent No. 3,384,338). The patent to Campbell et al lacks having a flow controller over the second outlet (26). The patent to Dermody teaches providing a flow controller (12) over a second outlet (8) to control the flow rate of fluid past the second outlet, col. 2, lines 53-67. In view of the teaching of Dermody, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the valve of Campbell et al to include a flow controller over the second outlet to control the flow rate of fluid past the second outlet.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/761.618

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L. LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC KEASEL can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JUNE 23, 2007

Page 5